		08/10/2024	Date	
	Cllr Adrian Smith – Portfolio Holder for Environment Cllr Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets	Cllr M Stephenson - Leader of the Council	Decision Maker	
1. Approve the high level contract specification as presented to the Member Working Group and Waste Contract Board at their meetings on 1st October 2024 and set out	Environment and the Portfolio Holder for Assets having consulted with and taken on board feedback from the Member Working Group and the Waste Contract Project Board:	er ur	Decision	REC
	provided by Cabinet on 26 July 2024.	The recommendations are made in accordance with the delegations to Portfolio Holders	Reason(s) for Decision	RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION
specification is broadly aligned to those principles and when developing the more detailed elements of the specification	Core Specification Principles that have already been adopted by Cabinet on 26 July 2024. The contract	The options considered have been led by the	Alternative Options Considered	SION
		None	Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer)	
	Working Group and the Waste Contract Project Board	Consultation has been undertaken with the Waste Contract Member	Consultation with relevant Ward Member(s) Yes/No	
22 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E		∀ es	Subject to Call-in? Yes/No	

ON GOTHET OF TRUCK TO THE	PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR ENVIRONMENT	Signed:	Decision Maker Leader of the Council 8	EMENT TO DECISION:		MEWORK?	8)	SPECIAL URGENCY APPLIES? (Rule 15 Access to Information Procedure Rules)	S? ocedure Rules)	URGENT DECISIONS ONLY (If non-urgent go to "Agreement to Decision" below):-	3. Approves the tender evaluation criteria as set out in Appendix B to this report.
			.e:- 8 October 2024		If yes, has the Chairman of the been obtained?	NO If yes, why is it not practical to	NO If yes, has the Chairman of the been obtained?	NO If yes, has the Chairman of the been obtained?	NO If yes, has at least 5 clear days no overview and scrutiny committee?		
			4.2.1 (Part 3.33) & 4.2.2 (8) (Part 3.35) of the Constitution	Debented David Defenses (in Bot 3 of the Constitution)	If yes, has the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's consent been obtained?	If yes, why is it not practical to convene a quorate meeting of full Council?	If yes, has the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's consent been obtained?	If yes, has the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's consent been obtained?	5 clear days notice been given to the Chairman of the relevant iny committee?		meetings on 15th August and 12th September 2024 arrived at those included within the draft specification.
			0		YES/NO		YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO		

Original signed copy to be retained by Service.

Electronic copy to be sent to lan Ford (Committee Services) for publication.

Date Date Date Dacision									
Decision Decision Decision Maker Conflicts Consultation Options Option	YES/NO	committee's consent	view and scrutiny	of the relevant over	If yes, has the Chairman c	9)		and rolley rialliework	(Rule o Budge
Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Maker Conflicts Consultation Options Opt		ull Council?	orate meeting of fu	al to convene a qu	If yes, why is it not practic	ORK?	DLICY FRAME	TSIDE BUDGET OR PO	URGENT & OL
Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Atternative Conflicts with relevant Ward Member(s) Options			Alow disc scientify	y the relevant over	been obtained?	Z	dure Rules)	riew and Scrutiny Proced	(Rule 18i Over
Decision Decision Decision Maker Conflicts With relevant Consultation Options	YES/NO	committee's consent	vious and scripting	s the relevant ever	been obtained:		ire Rules)	s to Information Procedu	(Rule 15 Acces
Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Alternative Consultation Options	TEO/NO	committee's consent	view and scrutiny	of the relevant over	If yes, has the Chairman of	NO		ENCY APPLIES?	SPECIAL URG
Decision Maker Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Consultation Options of Interest With relevant Considered Consultation of Interest with relevant Considered Consultation of Interest with relevant Considered Considered Considered Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered Ward Member(s) Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets: It is recommended that The recommendations with the delegations to Assets: The Portfolio Holders with the delegations to Portfolio Holders appended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the waste confract tender submissions will be considered. 26 July 2024. Council and Tendring District Council in Order to Gouncil in Order to Submissions will be considered the options available. N/A EXECEPTION APPLIES? NO If yes, has at least 5 clear days notice been given to the Chairman of the relevant	VEC/NO			mittee?	overview and scrutiny con		ire Rules)	s to Information Procedu	(Rule 14 Acces
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts of Interest With relevant Considered Considered Portfolio Holder for Assets: Considered Portfolio Holder for Assets: The recommendations are made in accordance Portfolio Holders Assets: The recommendations are made in accordance Portfolio Holders appended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the vaste contract tender submissions will be considered. The recommendations are made in accordance Portfolio Holders appended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the vaste contract tender submissions will be considered. The recommendations are made in accordance and provided by Cabinet on Form Essex (TOMs) Council and Tendring District Council in order to discussions which TOMs to include. Those discussions available. Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered with relevant Considered with relevant Considered and Portfolio None None Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered with relevant Considered and Portfolio None None Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered and Portfolio None None None N/A Clir Mark Stephenson - Value aspects of the potions and the options are value aspects of the potions are value aspects of the	TES/NO	man of the relevant	given to the Chairn	days notice been (If yes, has at least 5 clear	NO		CEPTION APPLIES?	GENERAL EX
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Considered Conflicts of Interest Meth relevant Declared Conflicts with relevant Measures Considered Assets Conflicts of Interest With relevant Declared Conflicts of Interest With relevant Declared Conflicts of Interest With relevant Declared Conflicts of Interest With relevant Declared Conflicts of Interest Ward Member(s) Considered Ward Member(s) with relevant Declared Clir Peter Kotz – Assets Agrees the social value Appended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered The Portfolio with relevant Holder has provided by Cabinet on rome to District Council in order to discuss which TOMs to include. N/A N/A Those discussions have considered the options Those discussions have considered the options Conflicts with relevant Considered Ward Member(s) attended meetings Yes/No Ves/No	VEC/NO				Decision" below):-	o "Agreement to	n-urgent go t	CISIONS ONLY (If no	URGENT DE
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts of Interest Assets Conflicts of Interest Considered Conflicts of Interest Considered Conflicts of Interest Considered With relevant Considered Considered Considered With relevant Considered Alternative Considered Conflicts of Interest Considered With relevant Considered With relevant Considered Alternative Considered Conflicts of Interest Considered With relevant Considered Ward Member(s) Ward Member(s) Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets Agrees the Social value Assets: The Portfolio Holders are made in accordance with officers of Interest and Elegations to Portfolio Holders The Portfolio Holder for Ward Member(s) Amone N/A None N/A Leader of the Value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered. 26 July 2024. Council and				available.					
Decision Maker Decision Per Conflicts Maker Conflicts Maker Consultation Options Opt		8.		the options					
Maker Decision Maker Decision Maker Reason(s) for Decision Alternative of Interest Consultation Options Options Considered Ht is recommended that Portfolio Holder for Assets Agrees the social value Stephenson - Leader of the Council Council Council Decision Reason(s) for Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts Considered Considered Alternative Conflicts Ward Member(s) Portfolio Holder for with the delegations to Portfolio Portfolio Holders Agrees the social value Provided by Cabinet on against which the social value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered. Council of the Ward Member(s) The Portfolio None Portfolio Holder's Assets: Agrees the social value Provided by Cabinet on against which the social value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered. Council of interest Considered The Portfolio None Portfolio Holder's Assets: Agrees the social value Provided by Cabinet on from Essex County County County County Council and Council in order to discuss which TOMs Tendring District Council in order to discuss to include. Those discussions have	Q			considered					
Maker Decision Maker Decision Decision Decision Alternative Considered Cilr Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets Agrees the social value spended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the waste considered. Council waste considered. Decision Reason(s) for Decision Options Considered Considered Considered Co				have					
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Options Options Conflicts with relevant Variety of Interest Assets: Considered Considered Sassets: Considered Member(s) Vassets Variety Member(s) Vassets Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets: Agrees the social value Themes, Outcomes and Stephenson Assers The Portfolio Holders With the delegations to Meetings With officers With officers With officers With officers Council and Tendring District Council in Order to Submissions Will be considered. The Portfolio None With Vasity 2024. N/A <		34		discussions					
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Options Options Conflicts with relevant Options Of Interest Of Interest Options Options Consultation Options Of Interest Of Interest Of Interest Of Interest Options Options Options Options Of Interest Options Option		M = 100		Those				8	
Decision Maker Decision Maker Decision Maker Decision Decision Maker Decision Maker Decision Decision Decision Alternative of Interest Options Options Considered Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets Assets Assets Agrees the social value Stephenson – Leader of the Council value against which the social value against which the social value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered. Decision Alternative Options Connsidered Councilor The Portfolio Holder for with relevant Connsidered Councilor The Portfolio Holder has detended to this decision against which the social value aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be considered.				to include.					
Decision Maker Decision Maker Reason(s) for Decision Alternative of interest or her recommended that the Portfolio Holder for Assets: Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets: Clir Mark Clir Mark Stephenson – Leader of the Council walue aspects of the waste contract tender submissions will be contract tender submissions will be contract tender. Decision Alternative of interest Considered (and Dispensators with relevant Considered Ward Member(s) with relevant Considered Ward Member(s) Yes/No N/A Stephenson – With officers Council and Tendring District Council in order to	, ,,	10		which TOMs		**	considered		
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative of Interest with relevant Options of Interest with relevant Ward Member(s) Conflicts with relevant Ward Member(s) Conflicts with relevant Ward Member(s) Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Member(s) Declared (and Dispensations granted by the Member(s) Ward Member(s) Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets the Portfolio Holder for Assets: The recommendations are made in accordance with the delegations to Assets: The Portfolio Holder has are made in accordance attended by Cabinet on Portfolio Holders with officers with officers from Essex County Council and Tendring District Council in order to waste contract tender N/A				dispuss of		<u>۶.</u>	2	12:	
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options O				order to		tte			2 0
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Of Interest Options of Interest Options Options of Interest With relevant Considered Conflicts with relevant Options of Interest Options Of Interest Options Opt				District		pects of the	value as		
Decision Maker Decision Maker Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Opt		28	U.S.	Tendring		nich the social	against w	Council	
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Options Of Interest With relevant Considered Options Options Options Options Of Interest With relevant Considered Options				Council and		to this decision	annended	Leader of the	
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Options Maker Alternative of Interest Options O			154	County		(TOMs)		Stephenson -	
Decision Maker Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Of Interest With relevant Considered Consultation Options Of Interest With relevant Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) Ward Member(s) Ward Member(s) Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets: It is recommended that are made in accordance Assets: The Portfolio Holders with the delegations to Portfolio Holders attended Portfolio Holders with officers The Portfolio None Meetings with officers N/A				from Essex		itcomes	<i>"</i> :	Clir Mark	
Decision Maker Decision Maker Maker Maker Clir Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets: Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Options Considered Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) March Decision Options Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) March Decision Options The Portfolio None N/A Consultation Options Alternative Conflicts With relevant Ward Member(s) Alternative Options Options Options Declared (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) March None N/A Consultation Options	0,			with officers		e social value	Agrees th	ASSELS	
Decision Maker Maker Cllr Peter Kotz – the Portfolio Holder for with the delegations to a strended Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Considered Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) The recommendations are made in accordance aftended The recommendations to aftended The delegations to aftended Alternative Options Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) Yes/No N/A Cllr Peter Kotz – the Portfolio Holder for with the delegations to aftended				meetings			Assets:	Accets Political Political	33
Decision Maker Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Options Considered Considered (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) None N/A Consultation Options The Portfolio None N/A	46	32		attended	with the delegations to	lio Holder for	the Portfo	Cllr Peter Kotz –	08/10/2024
Decision Declared (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer) Pes/No	Yes	N/A	None	The Portfolio	The recommendations				
Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts Consultation Maker Considered Declared Ward Member(s)	Yes/No		(and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer)					8	
Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts Consultation Maker Options of Interest with relevant		Ward Member(s)	Declared	Considered					
Decision Decision Reason(s) for Decision Alternative Conflicts Consultation	Call-in?	with relevant	of Interest	Options				Maker	Date
	Subject to	Consultation	Conflicts	Alternative	Reason(s) for Decision	rieion M	7		
			2000						

Signed: 1	Date:-	Delegated Power Reference (in Part 3 of the Constitution):-
Decision Maker	8 October 2024	4.2.1 (Part 3.33) & 4.2.2 (8) (Part 3.35) of the Constitution
MANUEL OF THE COUNCIL		
Signed:		
Decision Maker (an Bahauf of ASSETS, PH)		

Original signed copy to be retained by Service.

Electronic copy to be sent to lan Ford (Committee Services) for publication.

Cllr M Stephenson – Leader of the Council Cllr Adrian Smith – Portfolio Holder for Environment Cllr Peter Kotz – Portfolio Holder for Assets	Date Decision Maker	
	ker	
the Leader of the Council, the Portfolio Holder for Environment and the Portfolio Holder for Assets, having consulted with the Corporate Director for Operations & Delivery, the \$151 officer and Monitoring Officer: 1. Authorises the extension of the current contracts with Veolia Environmental Services (UK) Ltd for Street Cleaning and Waste and Recycling Collection on the same terms as existing and such that they both expire on 31 March 2026; and, 2. Authorises the Corporate Director for Operations & Delivery in consultation with the Head of Legal Services to	Decision	RECO
The recommendations are made in accordance with the delegations to Portfolio Holders provided by Cabinet on 26 July 2024.	Reason(s) for Decision	RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DEC
considered have been led by the progress with the procurement process for the new contract that will commence in 2026. The timeline for that procurement suggests that not extending the current contracts leaves insufficient time for contract. As such, an extension to 31 March 2026 is	Alternative Options Considered	DECISION
	Conflicts of Interest Declared (and Dispensations granted by the Monitoring Officer)	
been undertaken with the Corporate Corporate Corporate Corporate Corporate Director for Operations & Delivery, the S151 Officer and the Monitoring Officer	Consultation with relevant Ward Member(s) Yes/No	
	Subject to Call-in? Yes/No	

			service.			
URGENT DECISIONS ONLY (If non-urgent go to "Agreement to Decision" below):-	ment to D	cision" below):-				
GENERAL EXCEPTION APPLIES?	NO	If yes, has at least 5 clear days notice been given to the Chairman of the relevant	days notice been o	given to the Chairm	an of the relevant	YES/NO
(Rule 14 Access to Information Procedure Rules)	5	overview and sciumly continues:	the salament and	iour and constinue	ommittee's consent	CN/SH/
(Rule 15 Access to Information Procedure Rules)	Č	been obtained?				
EXEMPTION FROM CALL-IN APPLIES? (Rule 18 Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules)	NO	If yes, has the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's consent been obtained?	f the relevant over	view and scrutiny c	ommittee's consent	YES/NO
URGENT & OUTSIDE BUDGET OR POLICY FRAMEWORK? (Rule 6 Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules)	NO	If yes, why is it not practical to convene a quorate meeting of full Council? If yes, has the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's consent	I to convene a que the relevant over	orate meeting of furview and scrutiny c	Il Council?	YES/NO
AGREEMENT TO DECISION:-						
Signed:	Date:- 8 Octo	8 October 2024	Delegated Po 4.2.1 (Part 3.3	wer Reference (in 33) & 4.2.2 (8) (Par	Delegated Power Reference (in Part 3 of the Constitution):-4.2.1 (Part 3.33) & 4.2.2 (8) (Part 3.35) of the Constitution	rtion):-
Decision Maker						
Maker HOUSER TO						

Signed: N.A Decision Maker OT BEHAVE OF ASSETS

Original signed copy to be retained by Service.

Electronic copy to be sent to lan Ford (Committee Services) for publication.